IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

Criminal Application No. 432 of 2006

State of Maharashtra. .Applicant

vs

Mahadeo Anandrao Mali & Ors. .. Respondents

Mr D.R.More, A.P.P. for the applicant.

CORAM: V.G.PALSHIKAR,Acg C.J. ,&
NISHITA MHATRE, J.
DATE: AUGUST 17, 2006.

P.C.:

Heard the learned Additional Public Prosecutor for the State. Perused the impugned order. The prosecution arises out of a quarrel between two adjacent land owners. Such incidents are common as is the prosecution. The learned trial Judge has properly appreciated the evidence on record and has come to the conclusion that the accused deserved to be acquitted. In such circumstances unless perversity in the judgment is pointed out, interference with the order of acquittal is not permissible in law. Hence application is rejected.

Application is accordingly disposed of.